

2009 TYLA STATE MOOT COURT COMPETITION

Official Brief Ballot

Team Number _____

Judge: _____

Rank of 9 _____

Total Points (Maximum of 100) _____

SECTIONS AND TOPICS	Possible Points	GIVEN
COVER OF BRIEF: Is the cover correctly set up and the correct color?	1	
TABLE OF CONTENTS: Are the brief sections in proper sequence? Does the table correctly identify the pages where each section or item is located?	3	
INDEX OF AUTHORITIES: Does the table have a sensible division and arrangement of cases, constitutional provisions, statutory provisions and secondary authority? Are the cases arranged alphabetically? Does the index correctly identify the pages of the brief where the cases appear?	3	
CITATION FORM: Are the citations to legal authority correct? 0-2 error= 4 points; 3-5 errors = 3 points; 6-7 errors = 2 points; 8-10 errors = 1 point; 11 or more errors = 0 points	4	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE Does the brief comply with T.R.A.P. 55.2(d)?	3	
ISSUES PRESENTED: Does the brief state concisely all issues or points presented for review?	4	
STATEMENT OF FACTS: Does the brief state concisely and without argument the facts and procedural background pertinent to the issues or points presented? Are the statements supported by record references?	4	
SUBTOTAL OF PAGE ONE	22	

Official Brief Ballot - Page Two

	Possible Points	GIVEN
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT: Does the brief contain a succinct, clear, and accurate statement of the arguments made in the body of the brief?	6	
ARGUMENT STRUCTURE: Is the structure logical and indicative of the issues? Are the arguments organized in a clear and persuasive manner?	8	
ISSUE RECOGNITION: Does the argument include all the necessary issues and show a clear understanding of the issues involved? Does the brief include irrelevant issues?	10	
PERSUASIVENESS OF HEADINGS AND TEXT: Are the headings and text argumentative without being intellectually dishonest? Are the headings and text persuasive, keeping in mind the limitations each party has? Do not consider which party should actually win the legal argument.	18	
USE OF AUTHORITY: Have leading cases, relevant statutes, important legislative history and proper secondary authority been cited? Is the authority cited persuasive? Has there been an excessive reliance on secondary materials? Has the brief incorporated the facts of cited cases to inform and persuade the reader? Has the brief distinguished unfavorable cases and important authorities?	18	
STYLE: Is the brief clear and unambiguous? Does the brief look polished from re-drafting and re-writing? What is the overall appearance and neatness of the brief?	15	
PRAYER: Does the brief contain a short conclusion that clearly states the nature of the relief sought?	3	
SUBTOTAL OF PAGE TWO	78	
SUBTOTAL OF PAGE ONE	22	
TOTAL	100	